• Budgets
  o EphBuddies
    ▪ Having a cookout and need funding for activities.
  o Berkshire Farm Center
    ▪ Going bowling
  o Gospel Choir
    ▪ Final project
  o Ephlats
    ▪ Wanted funding for recording. Funded full amount.
  o GQ
    ▪ Wanted funding for recording, but at higher rate than Ephlats. So tabled until they are able to talk to the Ephlats.
      • FinCom has thought about finding a place to consistently record
  o Cookieopoly of Life
    ▪ For alternative to the Concert.
      • Emily C: Is this something we will only do if it is a concert that people have problems with, or will we do it for any all campus event? Should either be something we do all the time, or something that we never do.
      • Erica: Was a reactionary idea. Coming out of CC projects because ACE doesn't have any money. Wanted to make sure that no one would feel alone.
      • Jake: Think that people are already excited about the event so we should still have it.
      • Victoria: Think we are throwing around the word “not safe” too much.
      • Griffith: Feels like this is setting precedent for this always being a CC event.
      • Michelle: Also makes sense because there is capacity for the concert.
    ▪ Motion to Approve GFAC funding as a slate: Ellen
      • Second:
      • Result: 22 – 0 – 1
    ▪ Motion to Approve CC Projects: Jake
      • Second: Emily Fox
      • Result: 23 – 0 – 0

• SOSC Bylaw
  o Modifications to better handle dismissal of cases.
    ▪ If student organization is either not guilty or just gets a formal warning, then it does not go before CC.
    ▪ Quinn: Is there anyway that Council can know all of this information when making funding decisions?
      • Griff: So right now, the officers would know and so would SOSC.
• Emily C: Confused by the wording of part of it.
• Motion to Approve this Bylaw: Gabe
  • Second: Ellen
  • Result: 20 – 0 – 0
• Visit from Meg Bossong – Director of Sexual Assault Prevention
  o Meg: Brand new position on campus. Variety of roles. Including student support, you can always come to me – but not replacing anyone else who does student support. Won’t have a formal role in any of the adjudication of discipline, other than policy advising.
  o Dan: Any chances planned for policy?
    • Meg: Has been a recent change of policy. Cases are now being heard recently. Independent investigators, who are very well trained, are now handling investigating sexual assault cases. And this was a change that was recommended by the students. There is also a change in the appeal process. No faculty and no students on the disciplinary board. And appeals go to Mike Reid. So those are fairly significant changes.
  o Victoria: How to plan to involve men in these discussions?
    • Kate: Lots of people have hesitancy in these discussions for a variety of reasons (gender or otherwise). Trying to find lots of access points for the conversation. But what question I have for you is who are we missing in our current conversations?
      • Victoria: Wish for more spontaneous discussions in the entry.
      o Meg: Maybe I could come to snacks with snacks.
    • Jake: What about training for JAs on how to lead discussions?
      o Meg: That’s a great idea.
    • Christian: Like it when the discussion is being actively promoted.
• Emily: Difficult with the current system of RASAN checkins. Some of the hardest moments of the year for the entry. Maybe the goal is to make this something that we talk about in times that are not occasions.
• Gabe: What about making a way to be an ally in Daily Messages.
• Erica: What about a way to have anyone take training on how the facilitate discussions like this? Especially after something big like Take Back the Night.
• Jake: Thoughts on the number of groups that talk about sexual assault on our campus in a variety of different ways?
  • Meg: Good – they should be working collaboratively.
• Michelle: What about working with FroshCouncil, and then they could take it back to their entry.
Ben: Why doesn’t Williams more immediately get the police involved, while still engaging in the support roles they serve now?

- Meg: It is ultimately the decision of the survivor whether they want to be part of either the campus process or the criminal legal process. Incredibly important that we give the survivor support.
- Meg: It is a fact that many colleges have behaved terribly regarding sexual violence. Williams has taken a lot of positive steps that are very rare. Very high level of buy in from the senior administration. Have to have a discussion about how we hold people accountable for sexual violence.

Gabe: Why are there levels of punishment? It seems if you committed sexual assault, it should all be the same punishment.

- Meg: Different levels of action that merit different punishments, just like the criminal legal system.

- Henry Bergman: Host Training
  - Henry: From work with Men for Consent, one of the things we’ve been curious about is how to make spaces safer. One of the big party places with a lot of alcohol is off campus parties. These parties can be very drunk. The idea is to make these spaces safer. Have more control over these party spaces – still going to have a great time, but a safer time. Make people much more aware of the consequences that exist. Modify it off with Dartmouth does with its frats. Must have sober people at the door. Must have sober people serving alcohol and must have alcohol behind the bar. Ultimately about taking greater responsibility so something terrible doesn’t happen. Healthier/safer interactions as well. Just want everyone to be aware of the legal/social risks.

- Emily: Where does the accountability factor come in with having sober person at the door…?
- Ellen: When does registering have to happen?
  - Henry: Judgment call. But should be really easy to be in compliance.
- Griff: Worried about sober person at the door serving as a bouncer? Keeping some people out of the house. But overall agree. But maybe it should also be for everyone?
  - Henry: Yeah, at perfect Williams, everyone would be host trained. Best way to prevent rape and sexual assault. And lots of this have this is part of their alcohol policy.

- Christian: Aren’t we placing a lot of responsibility on those who are sober and serving as bouncers/bartenders?
• Henry: Part of being host/server trained is figuring this out.
  ▪ Corey: What about setting up compensated sober people at the party performing these roles?
    • Henry: Complicated – brings in a lot of additional complications.
  ▪ Henry: Not sure if this is entirely legal or something Williams would allow. More about trying to be safer and more responsible.
  ▪ Straw Poll:
    • Nothing:
    • Off Campus Training: 21
    • Off Campus Training and Specific Roles during Parties: 3
• ECom Bylaw Changes
  o Teddy: Proposing that one freshman student must be a part of ECom – must likely a member of frosh council.
    ▪ ACE cannot request funding from ECom. ACE would now come to ECom to prevent a conflict of interest. The only exception relates to ACE Concerts. Only for unforeseen costs.
  o Griff: This seems specific to the situation that occurred this year. Really no reason to think it will be the same issue next year.
  o Motion to Approve the Amendment: Jake
    ▪ Second: Quinn
    ▪ Result: 19 – 2 - 2