• Call to Order/Minutes
  o Motion to Approve Minutes: Matt
    ▪ Second: Griff
    ▪ Result: 21 – 0 – 0
• SuperFan
  o Kate: Update. The winter went well. Worked on partnering with other groups. Partnered with SAAC for the Winter to raise over $2,000 for Breast Cancer. Teams enjoyed being able to partake in a larger event. Have dates set for the SuperFan games in the Spring. Partnering with SAAC, Team Impact, Photo Club, Frosh Council and a couple other groups.
    ▪ Erica: Provided history of SueprFan. Used to be something that was a CC subgroup, but now it has spun off into its own thing.
    ▪ Jake: What has been your most successful event? What would you do if you had $1,000 more?
      • Iva: Men’s Basketball against Amherst had the most attendance, while Women’s Hockey was the one that was most profit. Good to see athletes getting more involved with the community.
      • Kate: If we had $1,000 more, maybe more event specific things outside of just having typical SuperFan money.
  ▪ Victoria: What are you doing for advertising?
    • Iva: Not just social media. Also posters.
  ▪ Emily D: Can you talk about the future a bit?
    • Kate: Yeah. Saved some of gear for the future so that we can use them next fall. Especially because CC doesn’t start until late in the fall when a lot of the seasons are almost over.
    • Ryan: Also trying to have a budget before the year starts.
  ▪ Emily D: What about ideas for how to get people to games that people don’t often go to?
    • Victoria: Halftime shows. Like some of the dance groups.
    • Nicole: Maybe competition for which individual on the team can bring out the most fans.
      o Kate: Yeah. Thought about that, maybe based around the entry.
  ▪ Dan: Maybe new gear?
    • Kate: Yeah, we talked about that but it’s a money concern.
  ▪ Corey: SuperFan punch card where if you attend enough games you get a free sandwich or something?
    • Ryan: Yeah, we’ve talked about that in the past, but hard to get people to show out.
• Approving New Student Groups
  o CASE: Trying to be the group of people helping facilitate startups on campus. Not trying to handle any money. Working with Jeff Thomas and other app
developers who have experience. Only thing they want money for is bringing in speakers. Little worried about interest.

- Matt: Could be cool to have them bringing in speakers.
- Annie: What is the format of the club going to be?
  - Griff: Going to be project managers. Would work with students who have ideas.
  - Harrison: Could also help with alumni engagement, because alumni would rather work with students.
  - Jesper: Confused why this needs to be a group.
- **Motion to Approve Case: Jesper**
  - **Second: Christian**
  - **Result: 11 – 8 – 3**

  - Pre Dental Society
    - Gabe: Steps towards making Pre Dental more prevalent at Williams.
    - Becca: Have they talked to Jane Carey (premed advisor)?
      - Gabe: Yes
    - **Motion to approve Pre Dental Society: Jake**
      - **Second: Jesus**
      - **Result: 22 – 0 – 0**

  - Partners in Health/Engage
    - Griff: Group based out of Boston focused on global health. Their current campaign is Global Health Engage. Looking at some projects on campus – 5k…
      - Victoria: Doesn’t this already exist?
        - Kirby: We have a more specific focus I think. Working on a national mission.
    - **Motion to Approve Partners in Health: Griff**
      - **Second:**
      - **Result: 21 – 1 – 0**

- **Budgets**
  - Spring Streeters: Looking for cars for campus road trip. Tried to get campus car but couldn’t.
  - MHC: Cookies for You Are Not Alone.
  - Frosh Council: Trying to do JA appreciation day. Since they have the money to do it, we figured they could do it out of their own budget.
  - Ephoria: Also going a Spring Break roadtrip. Didn’t fill out the budget 100% correctly, so we had to cut some things.
  - BSU: Hoping to break Angela Davis to campus.
    - **Motion to Approve the Budget for BSU: Jake**
      - **Second:**
      - **Result: 22 – 0 - 0**
  - ACE Concerts: Funding half of Chance the Rapper extra cost so that students don’t have to pay for tickets.
- Jake: I missed this – is ECom going to pay for the other half?
  - Emily D: Not definite.
- Griff: Why don’t we just fund all of it now? The price could go up again.
  - Gabe: Not really that much of a chance of it increasing any more hopefully.
  - Jake: Can’t we also just fund the extra part through CC campus if necessary.
    - Emily D: Yeah, we technically could. Not the best way of doing it though.
    - Victoria: Agree that we should just pay now.
      - Jocelyn: ECom has the funds to pay for half.
    - Erica: Going to continue the conversation about what ECom funds and what CC funds throughout the rest of the semester.
      - Erica: Also concert has always been something funded by multiple parties – never just been CC. We want it to stay a collaborative endeavor in the future.
        - Jocelyn: Isn’t an issue of CC and ECom. More of a conflict of interest with ECom and ACE. Trying to figure out role of campus distinct from ACE.
- Ben: Can we do a conditional funding process?
  - Emily D: Yeah – whatever you guys want to motion.
  - Gabe: But wouldn’t that put ECom in the position to say that it is going to be funded anyway.
- **Motion to Approve $2,967.50 with condition that if it is not passed by ECom, it will go to Treasurer Discretionary to have the rest funded by CC Campus: Jake (Divided Council)**
  - Second: Harrison
  - Result: 17 – 3 – 2 (because conflict of interest)
- **Straw Poll about who we feel about funding the whole amount:**
  - In favor of CC funding the additional amount: 21 – 1 – 0
- Emily D: Would love to see a debate.
  - David: Very difficult, but yeah.
- Ben: Goals. View ourselves as a new funding source on campus. Curious as how to reach out better.
  - Victoria: Think this is a great idea.
- David: Trying to increase the dialogue on campus. Increase what topics are discussed on campus. In the spirit of Professor Gaudino. Just a means to get other views on this campus.
• Gabe: What about expanding outside of just fiscal conservatism?
  • Dan: Would actually prefer to see it stick closer to fiscal and political conservatism. Feels like it is dangerous to have social conservatism as a topic.
    o Matt: Want to make sure that no individuals feel marginalized/persecuted.
  • Teddy: Maybe having more radical topics could help us push back against apathy on campus. We quickly write off those who are extreme without ever really understanding why they believe what they believe.
  o CCCT:
    ▪ Corey: CC video – similar to what librarians do for first days. Help introduce frosh to CC.
    ▪ Christian: Update videos and also going to entries.
    ▪ Ellen: SAARC – redesign.
    ▪ Corey: Reach out to CC’s on other campuses.
  • SOSC:
    o Griff: Proposed changes to the SOSC bylaw. Partly due to wanting to modify bylaw to adjust to the role SOSC is expected to play regarding discipline of student groups following parties.
    o Motion to Approve the Changes: Ellen
      ▪ Second: Nicole
      ▪ Result: 18 – 0 – 3
    o Current issue:
      ▪ Party was broken up with jello shots and Beirut. The leadership of the group said that this was not a group sanctioned event.
      ▪ SOSC recommends dismissal of the case.
        • Motion to Dismiss Case: Dan
          o Second:
            o Result: 22 – 1 – 0
    o Griff: Concerned that this was brought to us for a number of reasons. Felt like this should not have been brought to SOSC and also important that we have the ability to dismiss this case. But better that it goes to CC than that it goes to someone else.
      ▪ Nicole: But when should they come to SOSC?
      ▪ Gabe: A lot of it has to do with group leadership? Do they condone the event.
      ▪ Matt: Also, maybe it depends about if it is promoted through group channels.
        • Griff: Yeah, depends on if they are using the benefits of being a CC group to hold this party.
      ▪ Erica: Don’t want to have any hard and fast line. That makes me nervous. Want to keep flexible.
Nicole: What is a little nerve wracking about this is that we’re talking about discretion, and we just passed a bylaw where we have to suspend a group after 2 violations.
  • Griff: Yeah, but there is an appeal process.

Jake: Is there any listing of is a violation?
  • Griff: No. We made it vague on purpose.
    o Jake: Maybe we want to guide vagueness, so that it is clear that it is supposed to apply to hazing.

Jake: Also, in terms of anonymity, if we want that, it should be part of the bylaw?
  • Emily D: Yeah, we struggled with this. Because what if there can be extra information that is important.
    o Erica: Also, how comfortable do we feel voting on a group that we don’t really know about. But we are also aware that CC is not secret, and isn’t intended to be secret. So not something we really want to finish talking about today, but it is something that we think is important.

  • Erica: My idea was that SOSC meets with the group and if they accept SOSC’s decision, then the group will never come to Council, and they will remain anonymous. But if they decide to appeal, then they will come to CC and won’t be anonymous.