• Call to Order
• Minutes
  o Motion to Amend Minutes to strike final decision regarding attendance: Jake
    ▪ Second: Jake
    ▪ Result: 19 – 0 – 0
  o Motion to Approve Minutes: Jake
    ▪ Second: Jake
    ▪ Result: 19 – 0 - 0
• Attendance
  o Max: Because tie, CC presidents decide. Decided to give Ali a second chance. Last change though.
• Budgets
  o Williams for Life: Waiting until they have a more detailed budget.
  o Ski and Snowboard Club: Felt like subsidizing a vacation, so decided to not pay any of it.
  o Men for Consent: For one of their events. Hoping to have them look at other sources.
  o Ephlats: Hoping to record. Tabled until they have more specific information.
  o WCFM: Got allocated the wrong things during sub group – trying to remedy it.
  o Partners in Health: Looking to bring speaker to campus.
  o Break Out Trips: Split evenly on FinCom. Asking for money for more people. Seems like this was known about a few weeks ago when they originally came to talk to us. It was the trip leaders that added the extra people.
    ▪ Lucas: Seems like not funding would be punishing the kids who didn’t have an idea of what was going on.
    ▪ Emily C: Regardless of how this got to FinCom, I still think it makes a lot of sense to fund this.
      • Kate: Can’t really disentangle this request from a previous request. Shouldn’t pretend we know what difference this money will make.
    ▪ Adrian: Will also have a much greater impact than us saving the money for something else.
  o Motion to Allocate $600 to Breakout Trips: Erica
    ▪ Second: Eric
    ▪ Result: 16 – 3 – 0
  o Motion to Approve Rest of the Budgets as a Slate: Kate
    ▪ Second: April
    ▪ Result: 19 – 0 – 0
• Updates:
Jake: Been working on FacTrak. Have sat down with Lucky (head of WSO) and faculty/administration. Faculty concerned about outdated comments and comments being too extreme. So trying to make it less personal and more data driven. Originally thought about adding a sheet to end of the year evaluations. Faculty steering community supported that idea, but wanted it to be done by students. So next step is trying to talk to Lucky again soon.

- Max: What about having a list of who took classes so that you can just ask personally?
  - Jake: Good idea, but think other aspects of FacTrak are also useful.
- Kate: How do we incentivize students to make reviews – especially moderate students?
- Emily C: In terms of getting rid of old reviews, what about five years and then it disappears?
- Erica: Have to keep in mind what is technically possible and easy.
  - Jake: Sure. I think the best idea is to let WSO figure out how to implement it.
- Eric: What about using the sheets that are already filled out?
  - Jake: Steering committee doesn’t want administration or faculty to be involved.
- April: Don’t want to get rid of the qualitative aspect of FacTrak b/c it can be very helpful.
- Kate: Also need an accompanying effort to kind of rebrand FacTrak.
- Nick: Problem about there not being a lot of information about professors who are new. Maybe there should be some elements of being able to response to other reviews.

- Discussion on VP Structure
  - April: Think new structure more productive. Brings different voices to council.
  - Emily D: Maybe have VP’s report to council more.
    - Emily C: Yeah – knowledge to be shared.
  - Jake: What about assistant VP’s?
  - Jake: Think about making it clear who the first person to talk to should be.
  - Emily C: What about reaching out to freshman who didn’t get elected and working with them.
    - Kate: Yeah, have to think about mentorship.
  - Jake: What about representatives from student groups – or collections of student groups?
    - Max: Good idea – maybe not as voting member.
    - Kate: What about a separate group run through VP of Student Orgs?
April: Why is Fall Council so active into the Spring/why are elections so late in the Spring? Problematic.
  ▪ Adrian: Don’t really know – been like this for a long time. A result of elections taking so long.

• Club Sports
  o Max: Been talking with club sports. Biggest thing we’ve heard is club sports would like access to the training room. There are a variety of reasons why they can’t access the training room right now – the biggest is space. Did get ice machine outside of Cole Field, so that’s good. The main theme we’ve heard is a disparity between club sports and varsity, and club sports is feeling as if it isn’t fair.
    ▪ Adrian: Getting more trainers is going to take sometime. Although the new Weston complex is going to solve the space issue. But right now it is a legal issue that the trainers can’t work with club sport athletes.
    ▪ April: But isn’t it a bigger liability to have no medical care for club sports?
      o Kate: We sign waivers though when we play club sports.
    ▪ April: Ridiculous to spend so much on sports facility, and not spend the money on providing appropriate medical care for club sports.
    ▪ Kate: Williams is doing the bare minimum to be legally covered. Is there anytime club sports can go the trainer? This is part of a much larger conversation about financial support for club sports (especially relating to CC funding club sports). Because CC can’t by itself adequately fund club sports.
      ▪ Max: Athletics is 35% of budget right now – and students are really frustrated.
        o Adrian: CC needs to start considering how many club sports we can support.
    ▪ Gabe: College legally covered, but not morally covered.
    ▪ Adrian: Think this is the year to push forward. Even if at the cost of relationship with athletics.
    ▪ Alphayo: How do we even get something out of our budget?
      ▪ Adrian: Just need to find someone to pay for it.
    ▪ Ellen: How to peer institutions play for club sports?
      ▪ Adrian: Other schools have different SAT structure. But most other colleges have athletics pay for club sports.
        o Kate: True, but we also have autonomy on how we spend other money. And we are not the best ones to pay for this.
Eric: Maybe we can compromise? Only allow certain sports or people clearly on roster.

- **Motion to End Discussion: April**
  - **Second:**
  - Emily: Let’s discuss this with our club athlete friends. Editorials...
  - **Result:** 19 – 0 – 0

- **Lunch in Paresky on Sunday**
  - Matt: A lot of people have come to me saying they aren’t happy with having lunch in Paresky on Sunday instead of brunch.
  - April: What about including eggs and another breakfast option during the lunch.
  - Emily C: We should actually talk to people who eat at Paresky.
  - **Motion to End Discussion: Emily C**
    - **Second:** Kate
    - **Result:** 19 – 0 – 0

- **Other**
  - First NYT delivery is Feb 10
  - Eric: Lots of challenges with night PE classes – not a lot of enthusiasm. Student instructors is difficult as well.