• Call to Order
• Minutes
  o Motion to Approve Minutes: Quinn
    ▪ Second: Griff
    ▪ Result: 21 – 0 – 0
• Budgets
  o Nothing But Cuties: Costumes for Show
  o EphTV: They presented the same budget again – they need to present us with more options. So tabled.
  o Williams Initiative for Global Health: Sponsoring a documentary on AIDS
  o Motion to Allocate the Budgets: Kate
    ▪ Second: April
    ▪ Result: 21 – 0 – 0
• Great Ideas
  o iPhone Chargers
    ▪ Check them out by the library staff so that they don’t walk away. We’ve already talked to the libraries as well. We can funds for Android chargers as well. Hoping that the libraries will take on this initiative to support it.
    ▪ Motion to Approve Chargers: Emily C
      ▪ Second: 20 – 1 – 0
• Ephs for Life
  o Appealing a decision on their budget.
    ▪ They asked for funding for an event, but that funding was denied.
      ▪ They then got a pcard.
        ▪ Ephs for Life was hoping to be retroactively funded. But that was voted $0 by CC. So know they are appealing.
  • Ephs for Life:
    ▪ When we met with FinCom about proposed budget, our assumption was that we would get funded once we knew the details better.
    ▪ We thought that since exact numbers were needed, we should wait until we had exact figures and submit after the fact.
    ▪ Didn’t realize that retroactive funding was something that wasn’t approved of. Since first times doing this process, we’re kind of new to this, but we are learning from our mistakes.
    ▪ We also have supplemental funding we’re hoping to use for this.
Emily D: You can use that for this. But they are still in the red a certain amount.

- Jake: What is the standard protocol when something like this happens?
  - Adrian: Our choice. This seems like a fairly small issue that is not entirely their fault – partly relating to the pcard.
  - Kate: We do make this exception for groups sometime – so that the whole group is funded.

- Motion to Allocate the Funding to Ephs for Life: Teddy
  - Second: Griff
  - Result: 20 – 2 – 0

- Provost Dudley
  - Provost Dudley: Come to talk about new space for Admissions. Current residence (Baskin) was supposed to be temporary 10 years ago. It’s never been a great space for admission to be. As part of Steve Klass’ Southwest Sector Plan, there is talk of fully renovated Weston becoming the new home of admissions. Would actually be too large for admissions, so need a partner. Conceptually, Financial Aid is the best match. Want them to be face of affordability and accessibility at Williams. Want to move fairly quickly once the SW Sector Plan does come out. Talked to CUL about this a few weeks ago and seemed to be fairly well received. Are hoping that new offices will also allow better support for current students in terms of financial aid.
    - April: What will happen to Baskin house? Student housing?
      - Provost Dudley: That’s the most natural decision. But not definite.
    - Kate: Were other spaces considered?
      - Provost Dudley: Weston is the only current one that is really viable. The other option would be building a new building.
    - Emily C: How can this tangibly help improve relationship between Fin Aid and Admissions?
      - Provost Dudley: Will increase interaction. Opportunities for cross training.
    - April: Thought the separation was kind of good. Because kind of increased the narrative of need blind admission.
      - Provost Dudley: Those aren’t changing in any way. Think having them together could actually help.
  - Eric: What is the cause of the 4% increase in tuition per year? Is endowment going down?
    - Most students don’t pay full tuition. Average tuition is around $30,000. We spend on average $60000 more on each student than they pay. Tuition not based so much on market or cost.
Based on setting it high enough that college is sustainable. Also based on Peer Institutions.

- Emily C: What is the role of legacy in admissions?
  - Provost Dudley: Lot of misconceptions about legacies. Not true that all wealthy – so not admitting for money. Plenty of legacies receive financial aid.

  - Kate: Worked on revising bylaw from last time.
    - Changes clarify that fundraising is ok. And can fundraise as much as they want.
    - Cap on how much can charge for services, but not goods, to Williams College.
    - Students can enter into outside contracts for whatever amount they want, but have to do it through OSL.

- **Motion to Approve the Amendment: Jake**
  - **Second: Kate**
  - **Motion to Vote to Vote: Quinn**
    - **Second: Griff**
      - **Result: 15 – 7 – 0**
  - **Result: 17 – 2 – 3**

- Alcohol at Williams College
  - Adrian: Dean’s office is spearheading the alcohol working group again this year. Group is going to look at data and think about coming up with educational campaigns. So want to talk about what role alcohol plays at Williams (good, bad...)
    - April: Do a good job at alleviating pressure to drink. Do a really bad job of good alcohol free programming on the weekends.
      - Victoria: Not sure about pressures to drink. Feel like there is a pressure to be inebriated in order to ensure certain activities.
    - Jake: Not great at taking care of one another. We all have a responsibility to look out for each other.
    - Quinn: Feel also connected w/ hook up culture.
    - Jess: Not sure how unique this is to Williams – more of a college thing.
    - Kate: Shouldn’t assume only one drinking/hookup/dating culture – a lot of different experiences
    - Emily C: Not sure that having the drinker/nondrinker label is super productive.
    - Jake: Shouldn’t have to make choices of drinker and nondrinker.
• Lucas: Is this part of the larger conversation about Mental Health? As a break from stress perhaps?
• Adrian: How does legality play a role?
  o April: Upperclassmen know limits better. Don’t try to drink as quickly.
• Jake: Don’t see drinking games as a problem.
• Max: Culture of Williams very different from the law of the nation. Been manifested in hard alcohol.
• Kate: Not as many resources/discussion about long term problems.