• Call to Order
• Minutes
  o Motion to Approve Minutes: Kate
    ▪ Second: Alpha
    ▪ Result: 19 – 1 – 1
      • Yes: 19
      • No: 1
      • Abstain: 1
• Subgroup Allocation:
  o Emily: Keep in mind that groups can come back – this is not all the money that they will have to work with for the entire year. Done best to communicate with groups the exact issues with budgets and what they need if they want to come back. Also don’t want money in accounts unless we are sure that they need it.
    ▪ Described budgets one by one – anything notable is recorded.
    ▪ Adrian: Doing quasi subgroup for Women’s Rugby – technically still censured, but we want to get a general idea of how much they will need. Also, a lot of cuts are because if they are not specific, we can’t fund it. But they can get it funded if they are more specific.
  o Motion to Approve Athletics 1 and 2 as a Slate: Kate
    ▪ Second: Jake
    ▪ Result: 20 – 0 – 1
      ▪ Yes: 20
      ▪ No: 0
      ▪ Abstain: 1 (Cece - conflict of interest)
  o Motion to Approve Activities as a Slate: Kate
    ▪ Second: Emily
    ▪ Result: 21 – 0 – 0 (w/ individual conflicts of interest)
      ▪ Yes: 21
      ▪ No: 0
      ▪ Abstain: Ali (pre-med); Quinn, Griffith, Nicholas (WCIIG); Matt (WSO)
• Arts
  o Kate: Don’t feel that we should pay for Sankofa when we are paying more for their costumes b/c they are a dance department group. We don’t pay for other dance department groups. What is the difference?
    ▪ Emily D: Sankofa isn’t student led.
      • Adrian: Let’s table – shouldn’t just fund and hope that it all works out.
Motion to Table Sankofa: Emily C
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 19 – 0 – 2
    - Yes: 19
    - No: 0
    - Abstain: 2

Jake: Not crazy about funding groups that then use that money to hold events that they get paid for.
  - Emily D: Such a small amount ($3) but it saves us a ton of money

Motion to Approve Arts as a Slate (except Sankofa): Quinn
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 0 (w/ individual conflicts of interest)
    - Yes: 21
    - No: 0
    - Abstain: Griffith (Cap + Bells); Ali (Purple Valley Films); Quinn (ACE)

  - Motion to Approve Music as a Slate: Kate
    - Second: Griffith
    - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
      - Yes: 21
      - No: 0
      - Abstain: 0

  - Publications
    - Motion to Table Photography Club Budget: Stefan
      - Second: Kate
      - Result: 20 – 0 – 1
        - Yes: 20
        - No: 0
        - Abstain: 1

  - Motion to Approve Publications as a Slate: Jake
    - Second: Kate
    - Result: 21 – 0 – 0 (w/ individual conflicts of interest)
      - Yes: 21
      - No: 0
      - Abstain: Griffith (Globalist); Quinn (Yearbook)

  - Motion to Approve Services as a Slate: Kate
    - Second: Jesus
    - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
Yes: 21
No: 0
Abstain: 0

Spirituality/Activism
- Motion to Allocate to Get Baked: Kate
  - Second: Emily N
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
- Motion to Allocate to Get Students for Sensible Drug Policy: Kate
  - Second: Emily C
  - Result: 19 – 0 – 2
- Motion to Allocate to Thursday Night Grassroots: Kate
  - Second: Emily C
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
- Motion to Allocate to Williams Catholic: Emily C
  - Second: Emily D
  - Result: 18 – 1 – 2
- Motion to Allocate to Williams for Life: Emily C
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 14 – 5 – 2

Weekly Budgets
- SAAC: Bringing speaker
- Golf Scramble: Happens yearly
- Jazz at Williams: Going to Cornell and New York for concerts and festivals
- Quilting Club: Need materials and sewing machines
- Ceramics Club: Need new wheels and other materials
- Motion to Approve GFAC Budgets as Slate: Emily C
  - Second: Jesus
  - Result: 20 – 1 – 0
- Motion to Approve SAAC: Emily C
  - Second: Jess
  - Result: 20 – 1 – 0
- Motion to Approve Golf Scramble: Emily C
  - Second: Jess
  - Result: 20 – 1 – 0

Rugby:
- On April 25, 2012 WWRFC was censured. Faculty advisor was spending money that we didn’t know about (around $5,000). Council originally censured the rugby team for four years, meaning they couldn’t go through subgroup. Last year, the treasurer (Nikita) did such a tremendous job and they are now an example for other groups. This summer the CC Officers have talked a lot about removing the censure from the WWRFC. Would make the financing of rugby a lot easier. So CC Officers passed resolution meaning that we are in favor of removing censure, but Council
needs to vote on it. They can go through the subgroup process, but will only receive the money after CC removes the censure.

- Jake: Any leadership from misallocation still in leadership position?
  - Kate: No
- Kate: This also negatively impacted out finances and the finances of the school as a whole.

- Motion to Rescind the Censure (divide the council): Griffith
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 20 – 0 – 2

- Motion to Approve the Rugby Budget: Emily C
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 1

- Approval of VP of Student Life
  - Max: We talked in email about Mike having to resign b/c of other conflicts. We wanted to have an election, but it just wasn’t feasible. We thought it would be best to fill this position within council – constitutionally the power is given to CC co-presidents to appoint, with council having to approve. CC co-presidents talked with CC Officers. We filled out a request for self-noms within council. Based on deliberations, Adrian and I nominate Emily Calkins for this position. Based on necessity for someone who is experienced, someone who can hit the ground running, and someone who can begin representing CC well immediately. It is up to council to vote on this.

- Motion to Approve Emily as VP of Student Affairs: Jess
  - Second: April
  - Result: 20 – 0 – 1

- Adrian: What we thought of doing instead of holding another election is to offer the position to the 5th Senior. If he wants it, he would serve. If not, we’ll go to the next person.
  - Motion to Allow Election Votes to Dictate how will serve as 2014 Rep (Eric Liao currently): Griffith
    - Second: Nicholas
    - Result: 21 – 0 – 1

- Approval of Student Groups
  - Griffith: Williams Consulting Club – connect aspiring consultants on campus. Been fairly active already. No other groups on campus that do this currently.
    - April: How is the different from Career Center?
      - Emily C: The prep for interview is more than is just offered by the Career Center.
- Kate: Not crazy about improving a lot of preprofessional groups that don’t have as many pre stated things about improving community.
  - Teddy: SOC recommends the group for approval. Told them we would like to see younger members in the group.

**Motion to Not Approve Williams Consulting: Kate**
- Second: ?
- Result: 9 – 10 – 2
- Revote with Divided Council: Jake
  - Second: ?
  - Result: 9 – 12

**Motion to Approve Williams Consulting w/ divided Council: April**
- Second: ?
- Result: 12 - 10
  - Olivia: Nordic Ski Club. The transition between varsity ski team and the WOC total beginner ski team. Met the participant requirements they need.
  - Adrian: Only concern is liability, but Teddy will talk to Aaron Gordon to get a sense of the legal side of Williams.
  - April: Would be irresponsible to approve without looking at legal aspect. Sounds great, but we need to talk to legal first.

**Motion to Approve Contingent upon Going Through Legal Process: Teddy**
- Second: Griffith
- Result: 21 – 1 – 0
  - Motion to Extend the Meeting by 5 minutes: Jake
    - Second: April
    - Result: 13 – 7 – 2
  - Teddy: First Responder Club from last week. More legal info. Good Samaritan Law does exist in Mass. It is different than EMT – focus on disaster response, not day to day.

**Motion to Approve Medical Club: Ben**
- Second: Lucas
- Result: 17 – 3 - 2