Approval of Minutes
  Approval of Minutes for May 1, 2013
  Motion to Approve Minutes: Emily D
  • Absent: 0
  • Second: Abigale
  • Result: 17 – 0 - 1
    • Yes:
    • No:
    • Abstain: 1

Approval of Funding Requests
  • Emily D: Presents the budgets
    • Sankofa: Same issue as last week
    • Hudson Tutoring Initiative: They need a lot of money – but we don’t have a ton left in our accounts. This needs to be institutionalized. Hopefully they have a lot of left over money that they didn’t spend. We told them to go to a lot of other sources. And maybe the amount we are funding them will be enough.
    • Emily D: We may also have a transfer coming to us a little later.
    • Stefan: We shouldn’t assume that Kofa money is already spent. We should allocate the Kofa money to the Hudson tutoring initiative.
    • Emily D: If we don’t pay for Kofa now, we will pay for Kofa in a month using rollover. The Kofa group did not know that this was happening. It was a miscommunication involving the custom shop. It would not be ok to make Kofa members pay. The tutoring initiative can go to other places.
      • Clyde: What happens if the tutoring initiative can’t get funding through other sources?
        • Emily D: Can’t say it will definitely happen, but fairly confident that it will. It’s late for them to come to CC.
      • Ben F: We’ve found a better way to spend the money and Kofa hasn’t done anything?
        • Emily D: What could Kofa do? There is no option for them. We will be paying this bill.
          • Erica: Why is it worse to pay this bill out of next year’s rollover?
            • Emily D: We should not be paying of group’s debt out of rollover.
      • Max: Council needs to consider the same issue that we talked about last week. A systemic issue arising from academic departments spending money that is outside of the overview of
council. We need to think if sending Kofa in the debt so late is the best way to start the conversation.

- Emily D: Kofa already has made some progress. They've been trying to make the debt as little as possible.
- Teddy: How much more could we fund Hudson Tutoring Initiative?
  - Emily D: Approx 100
- Stefan: Disagree with Max – forgiving debt does not solve the systemic problem. What if we cut the money in half?
- Rani: I don’t think this is Kofa’s fault. Nor do I feel comfortable making students pay.

- **Motion to Approve Kofa budget: Rani**
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Clyde
  - Result: 15 – 2 - 1
    - Yes:
    - No:
    - Abstain: 1

- **Motion to Approve Hudson Tutoring Initiative ($200): Rani**
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Clyde
  - Result: 18 – 0 – 0
    - Yes:
    - No:
    - Abstain:

- **Discussion of Subgroup Allocation Process**
  - Adrian: The previous fall we were much more generous in subgroup process. We should have a discussion about Council’s priorities. Should we give minimum and give more money throughout the year, or should we be more generous at the start?

  - April: Context – the reason we increased by 22.4% was the direct choice of FinCom that was supposed to reflect the amount of money spent on the homecoming concert. Wanted to solve systemic issues with student groups. Working off of that model, everything was fine financially. Then Macklemore happened. CC should continue same process of deciding between concerts and subgroups. Not really possible to do both. Need to stick to our plan.
  - Adrian: Systemic problems will always be there
  - Clyde: Be more judicious
  - Stefan: How many audits have we had? What is the penalty if no audits?

  - Emily: Right now we have 100 – many have asked for extensions. I’m anticipating approx. 120 – 130 audits. Pretty good percentage of functioning groups. If they don’t turn in an audit, they will not go through subgroup allocation. This was
made clear to them. Also says we have right to report to Dean’s office if we decide to. Many of the debts with groups have been resolved. 3-4 orgs have actual debt problems, but not that bad.

- Krista: Agree with how CC has done subgroup. Because they’ve been group for so long, they should have privilege of getting money first. Our main job is to fund our subgroups. Complicating factor is big stuff we pay for like concerts/club sports. We should use this financial crisis to lobby for an increase in the SAT, or we can’t pay for club sports.
- Max: We will actually be getting some money back from Macklemore which is good – we can use that to pay off the money from Rainy Day – increase our SAT for next year
- April: ECom will take some of co-sponsorship burden for next year, which is good
  - Erica: We are using cumulative rollover to fund ECF, so that means we decrease the co-sponsorship allocation from the SAT. But we should remember the CC won’t allocate ECF – it’s a separate deal.
- Emily D: Given that we may be doing stuff with cumulative rollover, we should look at sustainability of ECF. Maybe save the money from SAT to fund other things that rollover funds – in case we do something with rollover money. We shouldn’t look at this is new money that we have to spend. Could be more responsible and save it.
- Krista: Not a matter of rearranging. We just don’t have enough money to do subgroups, club sports, and concerts. Need to really focus on getting SAT increased.
- Ben: What percent of money clubs get is left over? If a lot, why do clubs need more money – they don’t spend it all. So we can allocate them less.
  - Krista: They are functioning, but are they flourishing with the amount we give them? We want groups to flourish.
  - April: Don’t want to fault students who weren’t able to accomplish all that they thought they would, especially if we can’t predict it.
- Emily D: Only problem with giving more money is that we have to wait a little to access the money.
- Brian: Need to look at what is absolutely necessary for club sports that we are spending so much money on.
- Ben F: Don’t think increasing SAT is the answer. Some clubs overinflated requests.
  - Max: Appreciate you guys spending a lot of time on funding this semester. Given the situation we found ourselves in, it was really important. Really glad that we did that.

- Council Goals for Summer and Fall 2013
  - Max: What are good goals for council going into next year.
    - Clyde: Figuring out a why to approach getting an increase in SAT.
      - Emily D: I’ll work on that.
- Henry: Getting club sports institutionally supported. All that money could replace an increase in SAT. Varsity got so much more money on a smaller population, and unfairly burdens CC.
- Laura: Reframing printing on this campus. Not have CC get involved.
- Jesus: Academic departments more responsible for groups that we also sponsor.
- Stefan: Work on the ways student subgroups interact with the faculty members. The money is ours. We decide how it gets used. Also the Log should become a CC project.
  - Max: One of the most talked about things students want. Administration will use resources to make it happen. They've started doing stuff with it. Could have alcohol and food served in the future.
- Adrian: Making off campus housing more transparent?
  - Laura: Improving accuracy of room draw.
    - Ryan: I think they have been working on that.
    - Erica: Wants accessible list of landlords and contact information.
- Erica: Focus on ecom – make sure it goes well. Like to see update on Ecom budget every week. Don’t want to patronize Ecom in any way though. We shouldn’t boss them around.
- April: Need one document for off campus housing with deadlines for lottery – use that instead of emails.
- Matt: CC website as hosting for important documents.
- Krista: Neighborhood draw online – rank
- Henry: Get rid of off campus lottery. The landlords will take leases before the lottery. Right now lottery is just rubberstamp for people that have already signed leases. Amount of leases already serves as lottery.
  - Max: I’ve talked to Gail about this.
  - Ryan: Preleases are occurring - only responsible if chosen in the lottery.
- Laura: Some of the off campus processes are very long. Takes a long time to fill out paperwork.
- Rani: Change House Coordinator thing next year – may not function as an unpaid position.
- Clyde: Bring Baxter fellow system back.
- Laura: CC log of info to institutionalize knowledge.
- Shannon: Explore transportation more next year. Should we pursue printers? It would cost us money.
- Ryan: SAAC liaison on CC
- **Residential Planning Sector Committee Presentation by Steve Klass**
  - Steve Klass: Getting same presentation as the Board of Trustees
    - Been involved in residential planning section
    - Worked to build database of all of our buildings on campus. Helps with long range planning as to how we will invest capital. Garfield is at top of renovation list. Need to look buildings in terms of how successful the buildings are in terms of accomplishing the goals we set for them.
• What should we expect of our residential life? Want to work based on guiding principles.
• Have been working with students on this.
• In the end, flexible set of options going forward.
  ▪ Guiding principles
    • Intellectual growth
    • Community
    • Sage
    • Challenge students
    • Sustainable
  ▪ Current student review
    • Very happy with co-ops, not very happy with Garfield
  ▪ Options
    • New Housing
      o Building around Tyler, Tyler Annex, Thompson, Dodd, Garfield, Agard
        ▪ Not crazy about some of them as new places to build around
          ▪ Tyler, Tyler Annex, and Thompson are probably best options
  ▪ Needs
    • Need to construct 70 new beds
  ▪ Different Scenarios
    • Renovate Garfield during the year
      o If we decide we don’t want to do any new construction
        – creates some pressures that we aren’t crazy about –
        flips priorities to getting the most beds
          ▪ Causes trouble for those who are living in those buildings – not a big fan of this happening
    • Concentrate renovations on buildings that need renovations
    • Other more flexible options
      o No new buildings, some new buildings, lots of new buildings
  ▪ Questions:
    ▪ Max: What kind of feedback are you looking for?
      • Steve: Done presentation for CPR and CUL as well as trustees. Beginning to get more detailed feedback. Would like sense of does this resonate with us.
    ▪ April: Excellent. How does this effect coops? Was there ever any talk of increasing coops?
      • Steve: Not through this, but through other plans.
    ▪ Erica: What do you mean by programmatic aspect of housing?
      • Steve: Ways housing is used.
    ▪ Matt: What is impact on Garfield students for next year?
      • Steve: No changes for next year
    ▪ Henry: Is there a deadline for when a decision will be reached?
• Steve: Collaborative so need to work with a lot of people. Consensus around basic principles though. Philanthropy also plays a role. So getting close.

- Krista: How are you reevaluating purposes of buildings?
  - Steve: Looking at moving folks to more appropriate place. One of the most sustainable things we can do is repurpose existing buildings before looking to new ones.

- Max: Worried that one of the biggest housing needs is some of the frosh quads that used to be singles and are now doubles? Given that this is the first thing presented to potential students. Is there a way to have Frosh quad?
  - Steve: Hasn’t been brought up – but every building will be looked at

- April: Any plans for Weston?
  - Steve: Part of SW Sector plan

- Ryan: Will Weston be done by 2015?
  - Steve: Yes

- Teddy: What is timeline for SW Review?
  - Steve: Probably about the fall – much harder task

- Adrian: What does Council think of these principles?
  - April: Question the fourth one? How could the building influence this?
    - Steve: Architecture can have a large impact

- Jess: How do you feel about thematic housing?
  - Steve: Not fans

- April: Any plans to increase bed count of quiet housing?
  - Steve: Further away from campus, less people care about quiet housing

- Brandon: Any thoughts about geothermal heating?
  - Steve: Can explore – depends where we are on campus. Maybe Kellog

- Henry: Any talk of housing loud/more formally socialized housing – help reduce noise complaints
  - Steve: Never heard of it – would have to thing about it – seems difficult

- Erica: What additional resources necessary for fourth principle?
  - Steve: Can’t guarantee – depends on the people – especially housing coordinators

- Open Time