Approval of Minutes
  
  Approval of Minutes for April 24, 2013

  Motion to Approve Minutes: Erica
  
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Emily D
  - Result: 22 – 0 - 1
    - Yes:
    - No:
    - Abstain: 1

Approval of Funding Requests
  
  Emily D: Presents the budgets

  - Telos: We will not have to deal with their debt – their old funding source will deal with it – they've cut costs
  - Sankofa: Amount they are in debt because of costumes. Nature of the costumes that it costs this much
    - Adrian: Why are they able to have more expensive clothes?
      - Emily D: Because of their relationship with the costume shop – problem with the costume shop.
        - Kate: We should talk to ’62 center about getting them a faculty advisor.
        - Emily D: Faculty involvement could be a hindrance. But then they shouldn’t be affiliated with the dance department.
    - Adrian: We’ll work on this over the summer.
    - Max: The problem is again a retroactive funding issue. I feel like we have to vote on this, but it’s a really tough scenario, especially with a group like Sankofa where they have the potential to go so much over budget.
      - Adrian: Everyone should get money equally. We’ll address this this summer.

  - Homage: Concert this Friday.
  - TNG: Funding bring your own mug party that already happened – acceptable because they were looking at other sources.
  - College Democrats: They overspent. FinCom doesn’t want to fund it.
  - Golf Scramble: Biannually – were in debt, but they’ve fixed it, so all for funding

  Motion to Approve Co-Sponsorship: Clyde
  
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Abigale
  - Result: 23 – 0 - 0
    - Yes:
    - No:
    - Abstain:

  Motion to Approve Telos: Rani
  
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Clyde
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 2
Ben: Can we give Kofa lower amount?
- Emily D: They will be in debt.
  - Max: We need to have a conversation with this group, but we shouldn’t just drop this debt on them without any discussion, especially considering how close to the end of the year. That’s not how we want to relate to our groups.
- Kate: I feel like we need to fund this, because otherwise, we will just have to get it at the end of the year. But we do need to have a conversation with them. If dance department decides how much this costs, they can pay for it.
- Emily D: It gets really messy when there is faculty involved.
- Ben F: Have they gone to other departments?
  - Emily D: Our job is to fund this. They shouldn’t be going to other sources.
- Stefan: We shouldn’t feel that we have to fund anything. I think we should table this to next week, in case other groups with more legitimate requests come to us. That gives us time to speak with them before we give them our money. We shouldn’t punish other groups.
- **Motion to table until next meeting: Stefan**
  - Absent: 0
  - Second: Kate
  - Result: 15 – 7 - 1
    - Yes: 1
    - No: 7
    - Abstain: 1

- **Resolutions against Split Tickets**
  - Adrian: Want it to be more than just another bylaw change. Need 2/3 still.
    - Read resolution
    - Stefan: Don’t see relevance of final whereas
      - Adrian: Trying to show our motivations.
      - Stefan: Should be more clear
    - David: Maybe we should add other offices that you can’t split ticket. But maybe we should understand the voters can decide if they want two candidates.
      - Kate: Good point – slight problem if running unopposed. In favor of all officers being year long. We should cut out final whereas – friendly.
    - Erica: We should consider that the voters will likely know about split ticket.
    - April: Glad we struck last whereas. Wasn’t an accurate causation. Should be noted that very few people typically run for treasurer.
    - Emily D: Understand April’s point but still think shouldn’t split ticket
• Clyde: Unfairly harms people who want to go abroad if we do it for everyone
  ▪ Jess: Council would lose out on some important voices
  ▪ Emily N: Feel that we shouldn’t make it any harder to be part of Council
  ▪ Ben F: If we make it harder, there is a chance that nobody will run.
  ▪ Ryan: Also, might lose the varsity athletes.
  ▪ Teddy: Reason that these positions on year long. Strongly feel that treasurer should be one person for the whole year.
  ▪ Kate F: Feel that year long position is year long for a reason – it can’t be done in a semester
    • Adrian: Wasn’t trying to attack April. Because of responsibilities of treasurer, we need year long. Need to know what it was like in the spring, so they can see what it is like in the fall.

**Motion to Approve Resolution: April**

• Absent: 0
  • Second: David
  • Motion to Vote to Vote: Kate
    o Absent:
    o Second: Clyde
    o Result: 12 – 6 - 5
      ▪ Yes: 12
      ▪ No: 6
      ▪ Abstain: 5

• Result: 11 - 10 – 2 – Does not pass
  o Yes: 11
  o No: 10
  o Abstain: 2

  ▪ Max: Good arguments were brought up – thanks for voicing opinions.

**Resolution on Conflict of Interest**

  o Max: Codify what has been informal operating procedure.
    ▪ Read the resolution
  o Clyde: How do we determine uniquely effected financially?
    ▪ Max: Only if person is the only one who would benefit.
    ▪ Clyde: We should say active member instead of leadership position.
      ▪ Adrian: Would cause a lot more abstentions.
  o April: If this was actually applied, it would be fairly messy. Would exclude large parts of Council. Feel like uniquely effected financially is very complicated and convoluted. We don’t need to get bogged down by this.
    ▪ Max: Open to amending leadership positions. It has been precedent for years.
      ▪ April: Has been precedent for a reason. Because there are times when they should participate. Feel like this is arbitrary to the point that it is problematic.
      ▪ David: Last whereas isn’t technically correct. How would enforce rules for this when it is on the margin?
• Max: As the chair, the co-presidents decide rules of order. But council can overrule.
  
- David: There is something to be said for us representing particular constituencies. Shouldn’t they be allowed to support that. Isn’t that the point of a representative democracy. I would support mandating that they disclose that they have a conflict of interest. An easier standard to enforce.
  
- Gia: Is there a practical reason to do this? Have there been recent examples?
  
  • Max: It’s about the institutional position.
  
  • Adrian: What we’ve done for year – just articulating it.
  
- Stefan: You’re voice in council is representation enough. Don’t feel you can vote to put money in your own pocket.
  
- Motion to Amend Final Subsection: Kate – friendly
  
- Motion to Amend to Declare before speaking: Kate – friendly
  
- Adrian: Council in the fall will rewrite it for rules of order in the fall
  
- Ben: Issue with saying current leadership – saying we don’t trust members in the room. We were chosen by Williams, which is an honor system school. Goes against that.
  
- Motion to Approve Motion: Jess
  
  • Absent: 0
  
  • Second: Clyde
  
  • Motion to Vote to Vote: Teddy
    
    • Absent: 0
    
    • Second: April
    
    • Result: 15 – 8 - 0
      
      - Yes: 15
      
      - No: 8
      
      - Abstain:

  
  • Result: 16 – 4 - 3
    
    • Yes: 16
    
    • No: 4
    
    • Abstain: 3

- Mental Health Committee Bylaw

  o Adrian: A lot of power with the chair right now, which Council doesn’t pick. Makes sense for committee to be it’s own committee outside of council, so they can do what they want, and come to council for money. Because it functions differently from the other committees. Proposing to remove the bylaw. CC would have very little interaction with Mental Health Committee.

  o Ben: We are we giving up CC control?

    - Adrian: Right now, we have no control. Is different from other committees.

  o Gia: Just to give context – was involved with CC because Fran was cofounder and she was on CC

- Motion to Strike Mental Health Bylaw: Rani

  • Absent: 0
  
  • Second: Laura
• Result: 22 – 0 - 1
  o Yes:
  o No:
  o Abstain: Gia

• Rollover Ideas
  o Max: We need to consider what we are going to do with Rollover. What are some big picture product ideas we can do? What partnerships can we start with other groups. Lets brainstorm.
  o Emily N: Help purchase a van explicitly for political/social justice oriented events.
  o April: Two pronged strategy. First being capital restoration – type of things we have been cutting from organizations. Second infusions to expedite existing CRF’s.
  o Erica: Storage
  o Rani: Internationals plane tickets fund
  o David: CC Vehicles – don’t have to reimburse for personal/college cars – would save a ton of money if just had to pay for gas – would they host our cars in the parking lot?
  o Ben F: We need to do something other than just spending it all now.
  o Ryan: Stuff for club sports – supplies – ease tension between club sports and athletic department
  o April: Spending plan – coordinate with administration on SAT increases
  o Kate: Should reconsider club sports role at college. Also think about how we can capitalize on the money. We could make money.
  o David: Could take money and offer it as prize for best idea to improve student life. Use publicized prizes to engage people who aren’t involved with CC.
  o Adrian: Fund to subsidize tickets. Students wanting summer travel partially funded.
  o April: Shuttle from Paresky to Tyler in the winter.
  o Max: great ideas – thanks for your ideas

• Free Speech Resolution
  o Jess: Doesn’t say Williams College endorses movement. Support Free Speech for People, but not through Williams or CC. Just putting out vote and transmitting results. Thinks CC should start taking an active political role.
  o April: Feel this isn’t the role of College Council. Not a political body. Our job is to be representatives and help student orgs not in a political way.
    ▪ Jess: Intent is that simply have all campus email, that CC lends support to, encouraging students to take a more political role.
    ▪ Motion to Table Until Next Week: Kate
  • Absent:
  • Second: Kate
  • Result: 17 - 5 - 1
    o Yes:
    o No: 5
    o Abstain: 1
• **Opinions**
  - Personalized nachos – Adrian emailed them back

• **Great Ideas**
  - Rani: discourse with me bracelets
    - Max: We wanted to connect them with something right.
    - Kate: Feel we should discuss in the fall – doesn’t make sense if we don’t know how they will be used. We can use it with Community Matters.
    - Erica: Won’t know who will be on council in the fall.
  - David: Want proof that student body will allow vegan fryer and meat fryer.
    - Adrian: Can we straw poll next week?