• **Approval of Minutes**
  - Approval of Minutes for March 13, 2013
    - **Motion to Approve Minutes: Emily**
      - Absent: 2
      - Second: Kate
      - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
        - Yes: 21
        - No:
        - Abstain:

• **Resolution to Approve of (Borrowing) Money from Rollover**
  - Adrian: Presented the resolution
    - Amount that we take out is based on recommendations from Emily.
  - Kate: Proposed friendly amendments
  - Rani: Can we put the money back if Macklemore doesn’t come
    - Adrian: Yes
  - Stefan: What happens if we don’t spend the money
    - Adrian: It goes back to rollover
  - Ryan: Why do we have so little money?
    - Emily: Everything pretty much the same as usual, but more during subgroup and weren’t expecting Macklemore concert
  - Kate: If we don’t spend all money, can we offset cost we pay for the next few years by returning the money to the fund?
    - Max: Yes
    - Emily D: Hopefully we won’t even need to spend all the money recommended
  - Jess: Why are they divided into different segments?
    - Emily: Because going to different funds
  - Teddy: Is 33% increase in subgroup funding the usual?
    - Emily D: No, just happened this year – will need to be more strict next year
  - Need Supermajority
  - **Motion to Approve Borrowing Money from Rollover: Kate**
    - Absent: 1
    - Second: Stefan
    - Result: 22 – 0 – 0
      - Yes: 22
      - No:
      - Abstain:

• **Approval of Funding Requests**
  - Emily: Describing budgets
    - Quilting Club: Retroactive funding – is $500 cheaper than they anticipated in total
    - Men’s Rugby: No recommendation from FinCom – before we allocated the money from Rainy Day Fund, there was not enough money to fund, so couldn’t technically make a decision until we had enough money to fund (which we do know) – council will have to motion to allocate a certain amount
- Women’s Rugby: Same as men’s
- Williams at Berkshire Farm: Community service group at Williams that wants to go bowling. We cut snacks and kitchen rental. Possible with bylaws because it is the mission of the student group.
- ISO: Want speaker to come
- Lehman Council (two members): two members of people introducing homeless individual to campus – raise awareness of homelessness – recommend getting funding from other sources
- Williams Catholic: Want alum to come to talk about last pope. Tabled because didn’t want to allocate 0, but thought they could go to alumni relations first.
- Andrew Langeston: Already came. Tabled. Thought of other sources he could approach first.
- Darfur Cookie Project: Baking and selling cookies to assist Darfur. Exactly what benefit fund is supposed to do.

- Ben: How much money have we given quilting club?
  - Emily: At most, around 2,000. They have cut it down significantly.

- **Motion to Amend Line Item of Langston to Fund Him Based on Precedent:** Kate F
  - Second: Clyde
  - Absent: 1
  - Result: 15 - 4 - 3
    - Yes: 15
    - No: 4
    - Abstain: 3

- **Motion to Funding Requests as a slate except for Rugby:** Kate F
  - Second: Jesus
  - Absent: 1
  - Result: 22 – 0 - 0
    - Yes: 22
    - No:
    - Abstain:

- **Motion to Approve Great Ideas Budget:** Kate F
  - Second: Clyde
  - Absent: 1
  - Result: 22 – 0 - 0
    - Yes: 22
    - No:
    - Abstain:

- Emily D: Transfers – presents current state of CC Finances
  - Clyde: Why didn’t we transfer money out of textbook account?
    - Emily D: Not sure if we will need the money later.
    - Adrian: In theory, can always transfer money out of any account.
  - Max: Will Rainy Day have a separate fund?
    - Emily D: Yes. After fund is created, I will transfer the money.

- **Motion to Approve Transfers:** Clyde
- Second: Kate F
- Absent: 2
- Result: 21 – 0 - 0
  - Yes: 21
  - No:
  - Abstain:

- Emily D: Men’s Rugby – would like money to transport for games. We’re given some money before, but not all the money they need. FinCom recommends transport to Amherst and Union, taking into account that they were already allocated some funds, and they are playing fewer home games. They have to have real buses now.
  - Abigale: So this is an unexpected cost?
  - Emily D: Yes

- Emily D: Women’s Rugby – since they were censured last year, Women’s rugby had to get funding season by season. Wanted transportation to game. FinCom talked about cutting medical supplies and transportation to home games. Also, from last meeting we voted on the goal posts for Women’s Rugby. That was wrong, it is the purchase, not installation of the goal posts. We’ve already spent 20,000 on Women’s Rugby and around 14,000 on Men’s Rugby. So Women’s Rugby is asking for two approximately 6,000 budgets.
  - Clyde: Why didn’t women’s rugby come sooner in the semester?
  - Women’s Rugby: Spring Season is based on what match secretary schedules. It took a little while to do the communication.
  - Kate F: Can we allocate medical supplies?
    - Emily D: Yes
    - Kate F: Is allocating half the request ok?
    - Women’s Rugby: It’s fine
  - Kate F: CC is responsible is for funding club sports in full – it’s expensive – but that’s the way it is and we’ve made a commitment to do it. The installation fees are a regrettable error in that we wrongly described the situation. Maybe we should prioritize towards funding the season and then look at the installation of the goal posts later.
  - Ryan: I’m a little off put by the budgets and how large it is.
  - April: Within realm of club sports, which is our responsibility, drawing comparisons across funding bodies isn’t really valid. Also, what happens if goal posts aren’t funded?
    - Women’s Rugby: Would be one conflict weekend but other than that would work – it has happened in the past. That is two weekends from now.
    - Adrian: Spoke with Dick Farley, he said if we don’t approve the Goal Posts, they will fund a way around it to make sure they still have the season. I think we must fund the seasons. I don’t think it’s responsible for us to fund both because then we would have no money. And it doesn’t make sense to do goal posts and not the season.
  - Stefan: It is imprudent to spend whole seasons budget on just the fall. Why are we spending more?
• Kate F: Should be equal to men’s budget but we payed for goal posts in the fall. Told them to come back for installation fees when the ground softened.

• Brian: If we fund both seasons, how would the rest of the year look?
  • Emily D: It would be possible, but people would need to look at other sources.

• April: To contextualize request, we need to understand women’s rugby was censured last year. We told them they had to do the budget at this time. That is a stipulation we imposed. The idea behind increasing subgroup allocation 30% is to make up deficit in allocation to groups. Women’s rugby costs reflect that. That’s not rugby’s fault – we gave that benefit to a lot of groups.

• Jess: What exactly is being cut from request?
  • Emily D: One game and half medical supplies.
  • Jess: Will men’s and women’s rugby have equal games?
    o Women’s Rugby: Yes

• Erica: Will there be a problem with overlap of games on the pitch?
  • Adrian: Dick Farley said he would figure it out.
  • Women’s Rugby: But still not ideal. Has hurt our ability to practice.
    o Kate F: Realistically, we can’t fund both items. Would working with Dick Farley be good enough as a way to help?
      • Women’s Rugby: Yes

  o Motion to Approve Women’s and Men’s Rugby Season: Emily D
    • Second: Jesus
    • Teddy: How will we prevent this next year?
      • Kate F: Not super worried about – kind of a perfect storm. We won’t make the same mistakes again.
      • Stefan: We censured them last year. But they still got a ton of money. Did the censure do anything? We need to spend money more prudently.
        o Adrian: Censure just means they can’t be part of subgroup
      • Women’s Rugby: I thought censure ended this year
        o Kate F: Now, it’s 2 years. Censure just switches timeframe for access to money. Not supposed to be a financial punishment. Means we look over financials more closely. We have the responsibility to fund club sports. Taking punitive measures doesn’t really set a good standard.
          o Emily D: The women’s rugby was not the team’s fault really – the problem has been dealt with.
            • Max: Talking about role of censure is a much larger subject
April: Council stated we were committed to supporting Women’s Rugby financially, and they have been picture of fiscal responsibility.

- Absent: 2
- Result: 20 – 1 - 0
  - Yes: 20
  - No: 1
  - Abstain:

- Motion to Amend Motion to Approve 0 for Goal Posts - friendly
- Motion to Table Women’s Rugby Goal Posts Until Fiscal Year ’14 unless a resolution is presented to Council: April
  - Second:
  - Absent: 2
  - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
    - Yes: 21
    - No:
    - Abstain:

- Update by the Committee on Educational Policy
  - Michael (CEP) – biggest issue we’ve been looking at is Exploring Diversity initiative. Full review will be done next year but we’ve been gathering data and looking at easy problems to fix. Have done survey about EDI classes and held some discussions. Don’t some to be too many issues with EDI courses. Most complete before the end of sophomore year. Discussion was more enlightening than survey. Students expressed frustration with what was EDI and what wasn’t. Faculty were also confused. Looking to increase structure and support for faculty. Now working with multiple people to look at class requests for EDI, instead of just one person. There is going to be a committee appointed to officially look at and review EDI. Philosophical and implementation review of EDI.

  - Michael: Curious to know how important it is that students are involved in evaluating EDI courses and how important is it that students are involved in official review process?
    - Kate F: Important to have students involved. Sometimes there is a disconnect. Hopefully CC could help though I don’t have a specific implementation plan.
    - Erica: We should have a say in what is taught and what we learn. Diversity is a topic that is talked about in some classrooms in groups but it could be more cohesive.
    - Max: Sounds like people think student participation is important. Maybe getting information out and looking for student involvement could be done in the same step. Help students realize where input could be valid.
      - Michael: Should CEP students also be on the EDI review committee? It seems Steering Committee that is guiding EDI Committee is hoping that it will be a little more formal of a committee. But definitely willing to talk with Steering Committee to make sure there is some student input.
o Kate F: Having full CEP on EDI committee could be a little much but maybe CC could help in appointing students to committee.
  ▪ Michael: Seems like a good idea because CC already has appointing mechanism.

o Michael: New policy being put in the handbook relating audio and video recording of classes. Professor found classes being recorded without their knowledge. The policy prohibits audio or video recording of class without consent of instructor. If permission given, only for private use/studying. Intellectual property concern. Might also be a clause added that is an exception to accommodate for students with disabilities.
  ▪ Kate F: Thinks the accommodation for students with disabilities needs to be stated explicitly
  ▪ Michael: The point of this is to start discussion about this issue. This will take effect in the fall.

• Entertainment Co-Sponsorship Fund Bylaw
  o Erica: Introduce bylaw. This bylaw creates entertainment co-sponsorship fund. Explicitly for parties, performances, and concerts. Would be allocated by a committee chaired by the ACE treasurer, ACE GE co-chairs, VP of Student Affairs, Assistant Treasurer, Neighborhood Board Reps, At-Large Reps, and there has been talk about having a member of WCFM – this bylaw does include the WCFM rep
  o Rani: I don’t see why WCFM gets a spot on this. It would be great, but it doesn’t make sense over other student groups.
    ▪ WCFM: The main reason I think WCFM should be involved is that ACE knows what they are doing best for events. WCFM knows what is being done best with concerts. We think we can fill in underrepresented niches for concerts. Smaller, more frequent concerts. As long as WCFM is involved with this, it is really important those types of events are represented.
  o Max: How we thought of people to bring in to ECF. Wanted diverse stakeholders.
  o April: How we will do neighborhood reps if no neighborhood boards?
    ▪ Adrian: New neighborhood leadership boards which for this will work similarly
  o April: Is $10,000 enough money?
    ▪ Emily D: Based on averages. We can always transfer money.
  o Rani: The reasoning for WCFM to be on it makes sense. But that doesn’t explain why other student groups aren’t included?
    ▪ Emily D: They can still be involved. And it’s a trail run, so we can change it later.
    • Kate F: Tough to think of other groups. WCFM is an entirely programming body. It is also who students often approach.
    • ACE Co-Pres: WCFM says they want to program, but they aren’t really coming to ACE meetings?
      o Max: Not technically a requirement. Hoping to get insights outside of ACE.
WCFM: We are making effort to do more programming. The beginning of something that we want to help start.

Adrian: We can always change membership of the group – it will be under review.

ACE: Would like to review even sooner.

Peter: What is rational for having ACE select students? Why don’t we use CC Appointments committee?
  - Erica: It is what we talked about when creating it but not set in stone.
  - Kate F: Agree that it should be CC.
  - ACE: Is totally cool with working jointly with working with CC to decide who is on committee – although maybe VP of Student Affairs can be seen as CC Rep. Also, I thought the sense that this was a fund run by ACE. But good with working with CC throughout process.
  - Kate F: Doesn’t think it makes sense for VP of Student Affairs to represent all of CC.
  - ACE: Likes that structure

Motion to Amend Bylaw with Changes: Kate F
  - Second: Jesus
  - Friendly

Need Supermajority

Motion to Approve Bylaw: April
  - Second: Jesus
  - Erica: Right now ACE approves budgets. Just wants Council to be aware.
    - Stefan: Most efficient to have ACE do it. Is that legal under CC Bylaws? Doesn’t CC have to vote on all money out of our funds?
    - Max: Not a CC fund that ACE is managing. Rather it is us transferring them a lump sum.
      - Adrian: We are dictating stipulations, but not managing the money.
    - Emily D: Treasurer will decide if request goes to FinCom or to ECF.
    - Max: We should have at least one vote per year on whether we want to allocate the money.
      - Amended
  - Retracted
  - ACE: Just wanted to clarify that ACE is getting the money and that this will be seen as getting money from ACE?
    - Adrian: Yes, student orgs will list that they get money from ACE. CC is mostly just trying to help.
  - Erica: How often should this be reviewed?
    - Teddy: One year, especially considering that this is a new fund.
    - Jess: I agree. Council changes a lot every year.
      - Kate F: Stick with three because implementing significant shift, and unrealistic to expect it will work perfectly one year out. We can always just vote not to allocate the money. One year isn’t enough time to determine the validity of the program.
Gia: I think 2 year is good.

Teddy: What do we mean by evaluation? Saying whether it should exist or just looking at strengths and weaknesses.
  - Erica: It was initially three years because that is how long it can be done with cumulative rollover. After that, the money must come from somewhere else.
  - Jess: I think that the vote is going to institutionalize the review that council we make. Just looking for progress, we understand that it will not all happen at once. Just to help communication and get constant feedback.

Max: Two very valid points. Maybe put VP of Student Affairs in charge of presenting less formal review every spring. But just to check up on, not a formal vote that could kill the bylaw. We can stop allocating money any year.

ACE: Confidence in team to make changes. Good to have reviewed by CC each year informally.

- **Motion to Amend with Yearly Informal Review by VP Student Affairs:**
  - **Erica**
    - Friendly
  - **Motion to Approve Bylaw:** April
    - Second: Kate F
    - Absent: 2
    - Result: 21 – 0 – 0
      - Yes: 21
      - No:
      - Abstain:

- **Opinions@WSO**
  - Restructure VP Voting
  - NY Times

- **Open Time**